IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.1692 OF 2007

Vasantdara Suit Girani Kamgar Kruti Samiti Sangli ....Petitioner

V/s.

The Tahasildar, Miraj & Ors. ....Respondents

Mr.U.R. Mankapure for the Petitioner.

Mr.V.P. Malwankar, AGP for Respondent Nos.1, 3 to 5.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
R.M. SAVANT, JJ.
DATED : 3RD APRIL, 2007.

P.C. :

1. On behalf of the Petitioner, the learned counsel Mr.Mankapure states that considering the stay obtained in the matter of auction prayer clause (b) as such would not be maintainable. In so far as prayer clauses (d), (e) and (f) are concerned, these reliefs are not available or would be available before the other competent forum and as such presently we do not propose to go into the same.

2. As far as prayer clause (c) is concerned, it is the case of the Petitioner that Respondent No.2 has

forwarded a proposal to Respondent No.3 and Respondent No.3 till date has not acted upon the same. In the light of that, we direct Respondent No.3 to take appropriate action on the proposal forwarded by Respondent No.2 within eight weeks from today.

3. With the above observations, the Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. We make it clear that we are not deciding the case on merits and all the contentions are kept open.

(R.M. SAVANT, J.) (F.I. REBELLO, J.)